



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,311	01/17/2002	David A. Potts	2032 3673		
28152	7590 08/11/2003				
CHARLES G. NESSLER P.O. BOX H CHESTER, CT 06412			EXAMINER		
			MITCHELL, KATHERINE W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
•			•	\wedge			
	Office Action Summary	10/053,311		POTTS, DAVID A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Katherine W Mitch		3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address for Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire Society cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days w IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	rilled rill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2002.						
2a) <u></u>		is action is non-fir	ıal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Application	ı No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
S. Patent and Tr		ion Summanı	_				

Serial No. 053311

Art Unit 1721 36 7 7

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Claims 2-9, 11, 12, 16 and 17, comprising a method and apparatus for treating a leach field by causing water to flow into the conduit;
- II. Claim 10, comprising a method for treating a leach field by causing water to flow into vertical pipes;
- III. Claim 13, comprising a method for treating a leach field by removing water from the septic tank and flowing gas; and
- IV. Claims 14 and 15 comprising a method for treating a leach field by flowing air and creating fissures.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic to all four species. However, claim 1 is generic to species I and II, and will be examined if either of these species is elected.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial No. 053311

Art Unit 1724 3677

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to 305-67/3 Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) $\frac{308-3741}{308-3741}$.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER